

REMARKS

In the Quayle Office Action mailed February 3, 2009 (hereinafter, "Office Action")¹, the Examiner allowed Claims 1-42, but objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a) for failing to show the feature recited in claim 1:

receiving a selection of a service agreement from a user, via the computing device wherein the selected service agreement is chosen from a plurality of different service agreements providing various service levels related to portfolio modeling and coaching, and wherein the various service levels define distinct combinations of support, financial models, portfolio modeling, and coaching services to the user."

Office Action at 2.

Applicant respectfully traverses the objection to the drawings. Without conceding the propriety of the Examiner's assertions in the Office Action, Figure 17 is added to show more detail of the service level subsystem 160 shown in Figure 4. Figure 17 shows the following elements which correspond to recitations of independent claim 1:

- elements 161a, 161b, 161c, and 161d, which correspond to "a plurality of different service agreements"; and
- elements 163a, 163b, 163c, 163d, and 163e, which correspond to "various service levels [defining] distinct combinations of support, financial models, portfolio modeling, and coaching services to the user."

Claims 16 and 30, although different in scope, have similar recitations which correspond to the features shown in new Figure 17.

Support for the drawing and the Specification changes may be found in the Specification, among other places, at page 5, lines 3-4; page 7, lines 1-3; page 16, lines

¹ The Office Action may contain a number of statements reflecting characterizations of the related art and the claims. Regardless of whether any such statement is identified herein, Applicant declines to automatically subscribe to any statement or characterization in the Office Action.

21-23; page 17, lines 2-8 and 19-21; page 18, lines 4-5; page 18, line 25 - page 19, line 1; and page 19, lines 11-12. No new matter is introduced with the amendments to the Figures and the Specification.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection and issuance of a Notice of Allowance.


Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: March 18, 2009

By:



Maura K. Moran
Reg. No. 31,859